

CODED\_FIELDS: BEGDOC: GOO001-00507405  
ENDDOC: GOO001-00507407  
BEGATTACH: GOO001-00507405  
ENDATTACH: GOO001-00507407  
RECORDTYPE: E-File  
CUSTODIAN: Dunton\_(Maryrose)-GOONDCE000001612  
DATASETID: GOONDCE000001612  
MASTER\_DATE: 01/03/2006  
SENTON\_DATE\_TIME:  
CREATE\_DATE\_TIME: 01/03/2006:21:35:58  
LASTMOD\_DATE\_TIME: 01/03/2006:21:35:58  
RECEIVED\_DATE\_TIME:  
PARENTFOLDER: HAL-SB-0207\1 Customer\Macintosh  
HD\Users\maryrose\Library\Application Support\Adium  
2.0\Users\Default\Logs\AIM.maryrosedunton\ojakemo-GOONDCE000001612  
AUTHOR:  
TO:  
CC:  
BCC:  
SUBJECT\_TITLE: ojakemo (2006-01-03).html  
ORIGINALSOURCE:  
NATIVEPATH:  
FILEEXT: html  
FILENAME: ojakemo (2006-01-03).html-GOONDCE000001612  
FILESIZE: 13865  
MD5HASH: 4aba32f5749eb934838b6121c51e1349

1:13:24 PM ojakemo:

happy new year

1:13:30 PM maryrosedunton:

happy new year!



1:15:35 PM ojakemo:

heard about your myspace thing (your = youtube)

1:15:43 PM ojakemo:

apparently it was in the New York Times?

1:15:44 PM maryrosedunton:

hah. ya, although I didn't realize how bad it was until the next day. :)

1:15:48 PM maryrosedunton:

oh ya!

1:15:54 PM maryrosedunton:

we got so much press off of that

1:16:11 PM maryrosedunton:

funny, because one of your publishers did a big blogspot on it. :)

1:16:14 PM maryrosedunton:

blogherald

1:16:33 PM ojakemo:

haha nice

1:16:50 PM ojakemo:

we found out that our script to verify publishers hasn't run for three months

1:16:55 PM maryrosedunton:

oh, by the way, NYTimes incorrectly quoted their sources.

the quotes they

attributed to irrate 'bloggers' were actually from the blog I write on our site. :)

1:17:01 PM ojakemo:

haha

1:17:01 PM ojakemo:

nice

1:17:09 PM ojakemo:

well, no such thing as bad publicity :)

1:17:41 PM maryrosedunton:

indeed. between that and the Saturday Night Clips that got  
put on our site (which  
also made the Times) we're now getting close to 7 million views a day

1:18:02 PM ojakemo:

wow

1:18:05 PM ojakemo:

what was it when you started?

1:18:38 PM maryrosedunton:

398,737

1:18:53 PM maryrosedunton:

that was the stats from the day I started. :)

1:19:11 PM ojakemo:

wow

1:19:15 PM ojakemo:

20x as much, eh

1:19:16 PM ojakemo:

not bad

1:19:25 PM maryrosedunton:

ya, it's crazy. :)

1:19:53 PM ojakemo:

yah

1:20:02 PM ojakemo:

i'm thinking i might start looking around for a different  
job

1:20:05 PM ojakemo:

sssh

1:20:21 PM maryrosedunton:

hah...well, you should come by

1:21:16 PM ojakemo:

i could wait until my 1-year date

1:21:18 PM maryrosedunton:

oh, really? well...leads are good

**The following is a true and correct copy of this  
instant message conversation as produced by Defendants.**

ï»¿<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">1:13:24 PM</span> <span class="sender">ojakemo:  
</span><pre class="message">happy new year</pre></div>  
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">1:13:30 PM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:  
</span><pre class="message">happy new year!</pre></div>

<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">1:15:35 PM</span> <span class="sender">ojakemo:  
</span><pre class="message">heard about your myspace thing (your = youtube)</pre></div>  
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">1:15:43 PM</span> <span class="sender">ojakemo:  
</span><pre class="message">apparently it was in the New York Times?</pre></div>  
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">1:15:44 PM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:  
</span><pre class="message">hah. ya, although I didn't realize how bad it was until the next day. :)</pre></div>  
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">1:15:48 PM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:  
</span><pre class="message">oh ya!</pre></div>  
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">1:15:54 PM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:  
</span><pre class="message">we got so much press off of that</pre></div>  
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">1:16:11 PM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:  
</span><pre class="message">funny, because one of your publishers did a big blogspot on it. :)</pre></div>  
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">1:16:14 PM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:  
</span><pre class="message">blogherald</pre></div>  
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">1:16:33 PM</span> <span class="sender">ojakemo:  
</span><pre class="message">haha nice</pre></div>  
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">1:16:50 PM</span> <span class="sender">ojakemo:  
</span><pre class="message">we found out that our script to verify publishers hasn't run for three  
months</pre></div>  
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">1:16:55 PM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:  
</span><pre class="message">oh, by the way, NYTimes incorrectly quoted their sources. the quotes they  
attributed to irrate 'bloggers' were actually from the blog I write on our site. :)</pre></div>  
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">1:17:01 PM</span> <span class="sender">ojakemo:  
</span><pre class="message">haha</pre></div>  
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">1:17:01 PM</span> <span class="sender">ojakemo:  
</span><pre class="message">nice</pre></div>  
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">1:17:09 PM</span> <span class="sender">ojakemo:  
</span><pre class="message">well, no such thing as bad publicity :)</pre></div>  
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">1:17:41 PM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:  
</span><pre class="message">indeed. between that and the Saturday Night Clips that got put on our site (which  
also made the Times) we're now getting close to 7 million views a day</pre></div>  
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">1:18:02 PM</span> <span class="sender">ojakemo:  
</span><pre class="message">wow</pre></div>  
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">1:18:05 PM</span> <span class="sender">ojakemo:  
</span><pre class="message">what was it when you started?</pre></div>  
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">1:18:38 PM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton: